arXiv:1408.1620v1 [math.AP] 7 Aug 2014

Sharp L^p-Moser inequality on Riemannian manifolds *†

Marcos Teixeira Alves ‡ **Jurandir Ceccon** §

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Paraná,

Caixa Postal 019081, 81531-990, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

August 8, 2014

Abstract

We consider (M, g) a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ without boundary, $1 < p$ a real parameter and $r = \frac{p(n+p)}{n}$. This paper concerns the validity of the optimal Moser inequality

$$\left(\int_M |u|^r \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \leq \left(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + B_{opt} \left(\int_M |u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}\right) \left(\int_M |u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{n}} .$$

This kind of inequality was already studied in the last years in the particular cases $1 < p < n$. Here we solve the case $n \leq p$ and we introduce one more parameter $1 \leq \tau \leq \min\{p, 2\}$. Moreover, we prove the existence of an extremal function for the optimal inequality above.

1 Introduction

Optimal inequalities of Moser [22] type have been extensively studied both in the Euclidean and Riemannian contexts. We refer the reader to [1], [2], [3], [11], [13] for the Euclidean case and to [5], [7], [8], [10] for Riemannian manifolds. In 1961, Moser [22] proved that solutions of certain elliptic equations of second order have the standard L^∞ norm dominated by the standard L^p norm for all $p > 1$. This technique has been improved and is now known as Giorgi-Nash-Moser, which plays an important role in the theory of PDEs. The idea developed by Moser to prove that increase is based on an iteration process. The key point of this technique in [22] consists in connecting the solution a particular PDE to inequality:

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 58J05, 53C21

†Key words: sharp Moser inequalities, extremal maps, best constant

‡*e-mail addresses*: mtmarcos@gmail.com(M. T. Alves)

§*E-mail addresses*: ceccon@ufpr.br (J. Ceccon)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\frac{2(n+2)}{n}} dx \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

where this inequality is valid for every function $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and some constant $c > 0$. Our interest herein will be studying this inequality from the "optimal viewpoint".

In recent years, this type of inequality has been explored considering the best constant, *i.e.*, the least possible constant in this inequality (we will make this precise below). In this context, many authors are getting to apply this successfully in the investigation of various problems in PDEs. On the famous paper of Brezis and Nirenberg [4], the key point to prove existence of solution to nonlinear problems with critical exponent was the optimal Sobolev inequality. Recently Del Pino and Dolbeault [12] made use of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg optimal inequality to study the optimal decay rate of the intermediate asymptotics of solutions to nonlinear diffusion equations. The optimal Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality was also used by Farah [16] to establish sufficient conditions for global existence for Schrödinger equation. In particular, the optimal inequalities of Moser type in Riemannian manifolds studied in Ceccon and Montenegro [7] (case $n = p = 2$) was applied by Kishimoto and Maeda [21] to obtain global existence theorems for Zakharov system in $\mathbb{T}^2$.

Denote by $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the classical Sobolev space. The *general Euclidean Moser inequality* states that there exists $A > 0$ such that, for any function $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^r \, dx \leq A \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{n}}, \qquad (M_E(A))$$

where $1 \leq p$, $2 \leq n$ and $r = \frac{p(n+p)}{n}$. Define

$$A(p,n)^{-1} = \inf_{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \{ ||\nabla u||^p_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} ||u||^{\frac{p^2}{n}}_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}; ||u||_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1 \} .$$

The inequality $(M_E(A(p,n)))$ is called *optimal Euclidean Moser inequality* and the constant $A(p,n)$ is the *best constant* in this inequality. This optimal Moser constant was already studied by Beckner in [3].

We now consider the Riemannian case. Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold without boundary of dimension $2 \leq n$. Using standard arguments (see [15] for example) we obtain a Riemannian version of the Euclidean inequality $(M_E(A))$. Once there exists positive constants C, D such that, for all u in the classical Riemannian Sobolev space $H^{1,p}(M)$, we have

$$\int_M |u|^r \, dv_g \leq \left(C \int_M |\nabla_g u|^p \, dv_g + D \int_M |u|^p \, dv_g \right) \left(\int_M |u|^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{p}{n}}, \qquad (1)$$

where $1 \leq p$, $2 \leq n$ and $r = \frac{p(n+p)}{n}$.

We shall study a generalization of this inequality. Consider one more parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, where $1 \leq \tau \leq p$. We immediately have the inequality

$$\left(\int_M |u|^r \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \leq \left(A\left(\int_M |\nabla_g u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + B\left(\int_M |u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}\right)\left(\int_M |u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{n}}, \qquad (M_R(A,B))$$

for all $u \in H^{1,p}(M)$ and p, n, r as above. Note that when $\tau = p$ we recover (1). Observe that the non-sharp inequality $(M_R(A,B))$ implies that

$$A \geq A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}, \qquad (2)$$

for any $1 \leq p$ and $2 \leq n$. This is shown by taking a function with support contained in a small enough normal neighborhood according to what was already observed in [15].

We now study the optimal inequality. Having two constants, the optimality can be defined in two ways. We follow the more interesting one from the PDE viewpoint (see chapters 4 and 5 of the book [20]). Define the *first Riemannian L^p-Moser optimal constant* by

$$A_{opt} = \inf\{A \in \mathbb{R} : \text{ there exists } B \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } M_R(A,B) \text{ is valid}\}.$$

Using a local argument and the definition of the optimal constant it is easy shown

$$A_{opt} \geq A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}},$$

for any $1 \leq p$ and $2 \leq n$. Using a partition of unit argument (the ideas of the outline are contained in [15]) we can establish that the first optimal Riemanniann L^p-Moser inequality means that there exists a constant $C_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for any $u \in H^{1,p}(M)$,

$$\left(\int_M |u|^r \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \leq \left((A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + \varepsilon)\left(\int_M |\nabla_g u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + C_\varepsilon\left(\int_M |u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}\right)\left(\int_M |u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{n}},$$

is valid for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, it is immediate that the Euclidean optimal constant coincides with the first Riemannian optimal constant, ie

$$A_{opt} = A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}.$$

Since $(M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + \varepsilon, C_\varepsilon))$ holds, we can define for all $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$B_\varepsilon = \inf\{B \in \mathbb{R}; M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + \varepsilon, B) \text{ is valid}\}.$$

Since constant non-zero functions belong to $H^{1,p}(M)$ the constant B_ε satisfies

$$B_\varepsilon \geq |M|^{-\frac{\tau}{n}} , \tag{3}$$

where $|M|$ denotes the volume of (M, g).

Then, we mean that for all $u \in H^{1,p}(M)$,

$$\left(\int_M |u|^r \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \leq \left((A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + \varepsilon)\left(\int_M |\nabla_g u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + B_\varepsilon \left(\int_M |u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}\right)\left(\int_M |u|^p \, dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{n}} , \tag{4}$$

is valid. It is easy to see that for $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, we have that $B_{\varepsilon_2} \leq B_{\varepsilon_1}$, ie, B_ε is monotonous non-increasing. Define

$$\mathcal{B} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} B_\varepsilon .$$

In contrast with the Euclidean case ($B_\varepsilon = 0$), the validity of the optimal inequality is delicate, since as $\varepsilon \to 0$ the corresponding B_ε might, at first, go to infinity. In fact, when $\tau = p > 2$ there exist cases where the optimal inequality for Gagliardo-Nirenberg or Sobolev is not valid, depending on the geometry of (M, g), (see [7] or [14] respectively). In this paper we consider the parameter $\tau \leq \min\{p, 2\}$, *i.e.*, we weaken the Moser inequality. This idea had already been applied in [10] for a family of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities when $\tau = 2$ and $2 < p < n$. The ideas used in our proof were already present in [10], whose key point was to use techniques of explosion. However, we introduce a new way to study this explosion considering wich is more appropriate for this rescheduling.
The main objective of this paper is to show that $\mathcal{B}$ is finite.

We now state the main results of this paper:

Theorem 1. *Let (M, g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold without boundary of dimension $n \geq 2$, $1 < p$ and $r = \frac{p(n+p)}{n}$. If $1 \leq \tau \leq \min\{p, 2\}$ then $\mathcal{B} < \infty$ and $M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}, \mathcal{B})$ is always valid for all $u \in H^{1,p}(M)$.*

The Theorem 1 extends the results from Brouttelande [5] when $p = q = \tau = 2$, Ceccon and Montenegro [8] in the case $1 < p = q \leq 2$, $\tau = p$ and Chen and Sun [10] when $2 < p = q < n$ and $\tau = 2$.

The Theorem 1 allows to consider the *the second optimal constant*:

$$B_{opt} = \inf\{B; M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}, B) \text{ is valid}\}.$$

It is immediate that $(M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}, B_{opt}))$ is valid for all $u \in H^{1,p}(M)$. We call *extremal function* any non-zero function in $H^{1,p}(M)$ satisfying the equality $(M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}, B_{opt}))$. Unlike the Euclidean case establish the existence of extremal functions is not immediate just applying variational techniques. But we could unify the study of the validity of the optimal inequality with the existence of extremal functions. The central point to establish the validity of the optimal inequality and the existence of extremal function was to show that $\mathcal{B} < \infty$. Thus, as a consequence of Theorem 1, we have

Theorem 2. *Let (M,g) be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold without boundary of dimension $2 \leq n$, $1 < p$ and $r = \frac{p(n+p)}{n}$. If $1 \leq \tau < \min\{2,p\}$ then $M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}, B_{opt})$ admits an extremal function and $B_{opt} = \mathcal{B}$.*

The Moser inequality is closely related to the very important inequality of entropy. They are related through the Jensen's inequality. The optimal Riemannian entropy inequality has recently been studied in the case $1 < p \leq 2$ in [9]. The case $p > 2$ is open.

We will obtain now an estimate for the first best constant of entropy inequality. For this, we first establish the entropy inequality from Riemannian Moser inequality. Using the Jensen inequality in $(M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}, \mathcal{B}))$ (as the ideas contained in [3]) we find the Riemannian entropy inequality

$$\int_M |u|^p \ln |u|^p dv_g \leq \frac{n}{\tau} \ln \left(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + \mathcal{B} \right) \qquad (Ent(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}, \mathcal{B}))$$

for all $u \in H^{1,p}(M)$ such that $||u||_{L^p(M)} = 1$, where $2 \leq n$ and $1 < p$.

By proceeding analogously the Riemannian Moser inequality, we define the *first best constant for entropy inequality.* Denote by $E(p,\tau,n)$ this optimal constant. That is, if $(Ent(A,B))$ is valid for all function $u \in H^{1,p}(M)$ with $||u||_{L^p(M)} = 1$ then $A \geq E(p,\tau,n)$.
Thus, there is a constant C_ε such that

$$\int_M |u|^p \ln |u|^p dv_g \leq \frac{n}{\tau} \ln \left((E(p,\tau,n) + \varepsilon) \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + C_\varepsilon \right)$$

for all $u \in H^{1,p}(M)$ such that $||u||_{L^p(M)} = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, we will also define

$$E_\varepsilon = \inf\{B; Ent(E(p,\tau,n) + \varepsilon, B) \text{ is valid}\} .$$

We will have, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, that $(Ent(E(p,\tau,n) + \varepsilon, E_\varepsilon))$ is valid for all $u \in H^{1,p}(M)$ such that $||u||_{L^p(M)} = 1$, $n \geq 2$ and $p > 1$. We must note that it is not evident that $\limsup E_\varepsilon < \infty$.

By the definition of the best constant $E(p,\tau,n)$ and as $(Ent(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}, \mathcal{B}))$ is valid we can notice that $E(p,\tau,n) \leq A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}$. Applying again the Jensen's inequality in entropy inequality $(Ent(E(p,\tau,n) + \varepsilon, E_\varepsilon))$ (adapting the same ideas used in [6]), we obtain the Nash inequality. Thus, we have $E(p,\tau,n) \geq N(p,q,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}$, where $1 < q < p$ and $N(p,q,n)$ is the best constant in Nash inequality. This, we have the estimate

$$N(p,q,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \leq E(p,\tau,n) \leq A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}$$

for all $q \in (1,p)$. As observed in [6], we have the limit

$$\lim_{q\to p^-} N(p,q,n) = E_e(p,n)$$

where $E_e(p,n)$ is the best constant in the Euclidean entropy inequality obtained by Gentil [18]. Then, we have the following estimate for the first best contant for Riemannian entropy

$$E_e(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \leq E(p,\tau,n) \leq A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}$$

for $2 \leq n$, $1 < p$ and $1 \leq \tau \leq \min\{p,2\}$.

For interesting applications of the entropy inequality see Gentil [17] or Grillo [19] and other references contained therein.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

To facilitate the understanding of the proof, we will divide the argument in four steps.

- In section 2.1 we associate a sequence that satisfies an auxiliary minimal energy PDE;
- In section 2.2 we will prove that a phenomenon of explosion occurs for this sequence;
- In section 2.3 we estimate the speed with which this sequence converges to zero;
- In section 2.4 we will show that the constant B_ε is limited.

2.1 The Euler-Lagrange equation associated with inequality of Moser

As noted in the introduction (see inequality (4)) it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} B_\varepsilon = \mathcal{B} < \infty \, .$$

By (3) two possibilities might occur:

(C.1) $\mathcal{B} = |M|^{-\frac{\tau}{n}}$ or

(C.2) $\mathcal{B} > |M|^{-\frac{\tau}{n}}$.

If (C.1) occur, the Theorem 1 is proved by making $\varepsilon \to 0$ in $M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + \varepsilon, B_\varepsilon)$.
We will consider the case (C.2). Thus, there exists a sequence $\gamma_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$B_\varepsilon > |M|^{-\frac{\tau}{n}} + \gamma_\varepsilon \, .$$

Just for simplicity, we consider that $\gamma_\varepsilon \to 0$ when $\varepsilon \to 0$.
Now we will associate an Euler-Lagrange equation to the inequality $(M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + \varepsilon, B_\varepsilon))$.

Define $\mathcal{H} = \{u \in H^{1,p}(M); \int_M |u|^r dv_g = 1\}$ and

$$J_\varepsilon(u) = \left(A(p,n)^{\frac{r}{p}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} + (B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon) \left(\int_M |u|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \right) \left(\int_M |u|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{r}{n}} .$$

Then, by definition of B_ε, there is a function $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$J_\varepsilon(u_0) < 1 .$$

Consider

$$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{H}} J_\varepsilon(u) < 1 .$$

By a standard argument, it is easy to verify that $c_\varepsilon > 0$. In particular

$$c_\varepsilon \left(\int_M |u|^r \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \leq \left(A(p,n)^{\frac{r}{p}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u|^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} + (B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon) \left(\int_M |u|^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \right) \left(\int_M |u|^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{r}{n}} \tag{5}$$

for all function $u \in H^{1,p}(M)$. Consider $u_k \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $J_\varepsilon(u_k) \to c_\varepsilon$. Let $\sigma > 1$ such that $c_\varepsilon < \sigma c_\varepsilon < 1$. Then $J_\varepsilon(u_k) < \sigma c_\varepsilon$ for k sufficiently large. Using it together with $M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{r}{p}} + \lambda, B_\lambda)$ for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small such that $A(p,n)^{\frac{r}{p}} - \sigma c_\varepsilon (A(p,n)^{\frac{r}{p}} + \lambda) > 0$ and as $p < r$, we can easily verify that the sequence u_k is bounded in $H^{1,p}(M)$. Therefore there is $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon \in H^{1,p}(M)$ such that (for some subsequence) $u_k \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}_\varepsilon$. Consequently

$$u_k \to \tilde{u}_\varepsilon$$

in $L^p(M) \cap L^r(M)$. In particular $||u_k||_{L^p} \to ||\tilde{u}_\varepsilon||_{L^p}$ and $1 = ||u_k||_{L^r(M)} \to ||\tilde{u}_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)}$. So $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}$.
Therefore, we obtain

$$J_\varepsilon(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon) \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \left(A(p,n)^{\frac{r}{p}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_k|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} + (B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon) \left(\int_M |u_k|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \right) \left(\int_M |u_k|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{r}{n}} = c_\varepsilon .$$

It follows that

$$J_\varepsilon(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon .$$

Now, we will show that

$$\int_M |\nabla_g \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^p dv_g \neq 0 .$$

Since $\nabla_g \tilde{u}_\varepsilon = \pm \nabla_g \tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ almost everywhere and towards a future simplification of notation we can assume $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon \geq 0$. Suppose by contradiction that $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ is constant. As

$$\int_M |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^r dv_g = 1 \ ,$$

we must have $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon = |M|^{-\frac{1}{r}}$. Also we have

$$1 > c_\varepsilon = \left(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^p \ dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + (B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon) \left(\int_M |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^p \ dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \right) \left(\int_M |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^p \ dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{n}} \ .$$

As $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon = |M|^{-\frac{1}{r}}$, we obtain

$$1 > (B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon)|M|^{\frac{\tau}{n}} \ .$$

By our choice of γ_ε we have that $B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon > |M|^{-\frac{\tau}{n}}$ what produces the contradiction. Therefore $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ is not constant. Define now

$$v_\varepsilon = \frac{\tilde{u}_\varepsilon}{||\nabla_g \tilde{u}_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}} \ .$$

Since $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon \geq 0$, we have $v_\varepsilon \geq 0$ in M. Note that v_ε satisfies

$$\left(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + (B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon) \left(\int_M |v_\varepsilon|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \right) \left(\int_M |v_\varepsilon|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{n}} = c_\varepsilon \left(\int_M |v_\varepsilon|^r dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}$$

or

$$\frac{A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}}{c_\varepsilon} = \left(\int_M |v_\varepsilon|^r dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \left(\int_M |v_\varepsilon|^p dv_g \right)^{-\frac{\tau}{n}} - \frac{B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon}{c_\varepsilon} \left(\int_M |v_\varepsilon|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} .$$

At last, define

$$G_\varepsilon(u) = \left(\int_M |u|^r dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \left(\int_M |u|^p dv_g \right)^{-\frac{\tau}{n}} - \frac{B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon}{c_\varepsilon} \left(\int_M |u|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} .$$

By (5), we have that $G_\varepsilon(u) \leq \frac{A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}}{c_\varepsilon}$ for all functions $u \in \mathcal{D} = \{u \in H^{1,p}(M); \int_M |\nabla_g u|^p dv_g = 1\}$. Since G_ε is of class C^1, $v_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}$, $c_\varepsilon < 1$ and $G_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) = \frac{A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}}{c_\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\nu_\varepsilon = \sup_{u \in \mathcal{D}} G_\varepsilon(u) = G_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon) > A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \ . \tag{6}$$

So, v_ε satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{n+p}{n} ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)}^{\frac{\tau(n+p)-rn}{n}} ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{-\frac{\tau p}{n}} v_\varepsilon^{r-1} - \frac{p}{n} ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)}^{\frac{\tau(n+p)}{n}} ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{-\frac{\tau p}{n}-p} v_\varepsilon^{p-1} - d_\varepsilon ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{\tau-p} v_\varepsilon^{p-1} = \nu_\varepsilon \Delta_{p,g} v_\varepsilon \ , \tag{7}$$

where $\Delta_{p,g} = -\mathrm{div}_g(|\nabla_g|^{p-2}\nabla_g)$ is the p-Laplace operator of g and $d_\varepsilon = \frac{B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon}{c_\varepsilon}$.

We now set

$$u_\varepsilon = \frac{v_\varepsilon}{||v_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)}} \geq 0 \, .$$

Writing the Euler-Lagrange equation in terms of u_ε, we have

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^{-1} A_\varepsilon \Delta_{p,g} u_\varepsilon + d_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon^{\frac{\tau}{p}} ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{\tau-p} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} + \frac{p}{n} ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{-p} u_\varepsilon^{p-1} = \frac{n+p}{n} u_\varepsilon^{r-1} \text{ on } M \, , \tag{8}$$

where $||u_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)} = 1$,

$$A_\varepsilon = \left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{p}{n}}$$

and

$$\lambda_\varepsilon = \nu_\varepsilon^{-1} ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{\frac{p(p-\tau)}{n}} ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)}^{\tau-p} \, .$$

We now highlight an important consequence of the Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^{-1} \geq A(p,n) \, . \tag{9}$$

In order to show (9), note that taking v_ε as the test function in (7), we have

$$\nu_\varepsilon \leq ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)}^{\frac{\tau(n+p)}{n}} ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{-\frac{\tau p}{n}} \, .$$

Putting together the previous inequality, (6) and noting that $\tau \leq p$, we get

$$\lambda_\varepsilon = \nu_\varepsilon^{-1} ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)}^{\frac{p(\tau-p)}{n}} ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{-\frac{p(\tau-p)}{n}} ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)}^{\tau-p} = \nu_\varepsilon^{-1} \left(||v_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)}^{\frac{\tau(n+p)}{n}} ||v_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{-\frac{\tau p}{n}} \right)^{\frac{\tau-p}{\tau}} \leq \nu_\varepsilon^{-\frac{p}{\tau}} \leq A(p,n)^{-1} \, .$$

This proves (9).

As $p < r$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$0 \leq A_\varepsilon \leq c \, ,$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Now, we will examine two possible situations:

A:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} A_\varepsilon > 0$$

B:

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} A_\varepsilon = 0\,. \tag{10}$$

If occur (A), we have by (8) that d_ε is bounded, consequently, $\mathcal{B}$ is bounded.

The remainder of the proof will be to show that the only possibility of (B) to occur is when $\tau = \min\{2, p\}$, and in that case, we should have also $\mathcal{B}$ is limited. The argument in this case is more delicate, so we divide the proof in several steps. The central idea is around a study of explosion.

Assume that (B) occurs. First we observe, using (4) with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$ and because $p < r$, that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p dv_g > c\,.$$

Using this in (8), we have that

$$\lambda_\epsilon^{-1} \leq c \tag{11}$$

for some $c > 0$.

2.2 L^r-concentration

Using (8) and by Tolksdorf's regularity theory (see [24]), it follows that u_ε is of class C^1. So, let $x_\varepsilon \in M$ be a maximum point of u_ε, that is,

$$u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) = ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}\,. \tag{12}$$

Our aim here is to establish that

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{B(x_\varepsilon,\sigma A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p^2}})} u_\varepsilon^r\, dv_g = 1\,, \tag{13}$$

Note that $A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p^2}} \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

For each $x \in B(0,\sigma)$, define

$$\begin{aligned} h_\varepsilon(x) &= g(\exp_{x_\varepsilon}(A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p^2}} x))\,,\\ \varphi_\varepsilon(x) &= A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n^2}{p^3}} u_\varepsilon(\exp_{x_\varepsilon}(A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p^2}} x))\,. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By (8), one easily deduces that

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^{-1}\Delta_{p,h_\varepsilon}\varphi_\varepsilon + d_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon^{\frac{\tau}{p}-1}||u_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{\tau-p}A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p}}\varphi_\varepsilon^{p-1} + \frac{p}{n}\varphi_\varepsilon^{p-1} = \frac{n+p}{n}\varphi_\varepsilon^{r-1} \text{ on } B(0,\sigma)\,. \tag{15}$$

For $p < n$, using (9) and applying the Moser's iterative scheme (see [23]) to this last equation, we see that

$$A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n(n+p)}{p^2}}||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}^r = \sup_{B(0,\frac{\sigma}{2})}\varphi_\varepsilon^r \le c\int_{B(0,\sigma)}\varphi_\varepsilon^r\,dh_\varepsilon = c\int_{B(x_\varepsilon,\sigma A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p^2}})}u_\varepsilon^r\,dv_g \le c\,,$$

for ε small enough. For $p \ge n$, we use (9) and Morrey's inequality (see [23] for general idea) also for

$$A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n(n+p)}{p^2}}||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}^r = \sup_{B(0,\frac{\sigma}{2})}\varphi_\varepsilon^r \le c\,.$$

This estimates together with

$$1 = \int_M u_\varepsilon^r\,dv_g \le ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}^{r-p}\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g = \left(||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}\,A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n^2}{p^3}}\right)^{r-p}$$

gives

$$1 \le ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}\,A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n^2}{p^3}} \le c\,, \tag{16}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p > 1$.

We will use in the sequel that (16) means that the limiting behavior of $||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}$ and $A_\varepsilon^{-\frac{n^2}{p^3}}$ have the same order.

In particular, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B(0,\sigma)}\varphi_\varepsilon^r\,dh_\varepsilon \ge c > 0 \tag{17}$$

for ε small enough.

Now using Cartan expansion in normal coordinates and (16), we have for each $\sigma > 0$, that

$$\int_{B(0,\sigma)}\varphi_\varepsilon^p dx \le c\int_{B(0,\sigma)}\varphi_\varepsilon^p dh_\varepsilon = c\,\frac{\int_{B(x_\varepsilon,\sigma A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p^2}})}u_\varepsilon^p\,dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p\,dv_g} \le c\,,$$

where c is independent of ε. We have also

$$\int_{B(0,\sigma)}|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon|^p\,dx \le c\int_{B(0,\sigma)}|\nabla_{h_\varepsilon}\varphi_\varepsilon|^p\,dh_\varepsilon = cA_\varepsilon\int_{B(x_\varepsilon,\sigma A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p^2}})}|\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p\,dv_g \le c\,A(p,n)^{-1}\,.$$

Therefore there exists $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that, for some subsequence, $\varphi_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup \varphi$ in $W^{1,p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For each $\sigma > 0$, we have

$$\int_{B(0,\sigma)}\varphi^r\,dx = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{B(0,\sigma)}\varphi_\varepsilon^r\,dh_\varepsilon = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{B(x_\varepsilon,\sigma A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p^2}})}u_\varepsilon^r\,dv_g \le 1\,.$$

In particular,

$$\varphi \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)\,. \tag{18}$$

Let $\eta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R})$ be a cutoff function such that $\eta = 1$ on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\eta = 0$ on $[1, \infty)$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. Define $\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}(x) = \eta((\sigma A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p^2}})^{-1} d_g(x, x_\varepsilon))$. Choosing $u_\varepsilon \eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p$ as a test function in (8), one gets

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^{-1} A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p \, dv_g + \lambda_\varepsilon^{-1} A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \nabla_g u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_g(\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p) u_\varepsilon \, dv_g + \frac{p}{n} \frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p \, dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g}$$

$$\leq \frac{n+p}{n} \int_M u_\varepsilon^r \eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p \, dv_g\,. \tag{19}$$

We now show that

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \nabla_g u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_g(\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p) u_\varepsilon \, dv_g = 0\,. \tag{20}$$

Taking u_ε as the test function, by (8) we have

$$A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p dv_g \leq \lambda_\varepsilon \leq A(p,n)^{-1}\,.$$

Therefore, it suffices to establish that

$$A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p |\nabla_g \eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}|^p \, dv_g \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^p}\,. \tag{21}$$

Using (16), we derive

$$A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p |\nabla_g \eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}|^p \, dv_g \leq c \frac{A_\varepsilon ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}^{p-r}}{\sigma^p A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p}}} \int_M u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g \leq c \frac{A_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{p}}}{\sigma^p A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p}}} \leq \frac{c}{\sigma^p}.$$

Therefore (21) holds and (20) is valid.

Replacing (9) and (20) in (19), one arrives at

$$\frac{n}{n+p} \lim_{\sigma\to\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left(A(p,n)\, A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p \, dv_g \right) + \frac{p}{n+p} \lim_{\sigma\to\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p \, dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g} \leq \lim_{\sigma,\to\infty} \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_M u_\varepsilon^r \eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p \, dv_g\,.$$

To rewrite this inequality in a suitable format, we remark that

$$\left| \int_M u_\varepsilon^r \eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p \, dv_g - \int_M u_\varepsilon^r \eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^r \, dv_g \right| \leq \int_{B(x_\varepsilon, \sigma A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p^2}}) \setminus B(x_\varepsilon, (\sigma A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n+p}{p^2}})/2)} u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g = \int_{B(0,\sigma)\setminus B(0,\sigma/2)} \varphi_\varepsilon^r dh_\varepsilon\,,$$

using (18), so that

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_M u_\varepsilon^r\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^r\,dv_g=\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_M u_\varepsilon^r\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p\,dv_g\,.$$

Consequently, we can write

$$\frac{n}{n+p}\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(A(p,n)\,A_\varepsilon\int_M|\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p\,dv_g\right)+\frac{p}{n+p}\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p\,dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p\,dv_g}\le\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_M u_\varepsilon^r\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^r\,dv_g\,. \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, for $\kappa>0$ let the constant $B_\kappa>0$, independent of ε, such that

$$\left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^r\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^r\,dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}\le\left((A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}+\kappa)\left(\int_M|\nabla_g(u_\varepsilon\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma})|^p\,dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}+B_\kappa\left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^p\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p\,dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}\right)\left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^p\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p\,dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{n}}.$$

From the definition of A_ε, Young's inequality and $(x+y)^p\le x^p+cx^{p-1}y+cy^p$ for $x,y\ge 0$, one has

$$\left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^r\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^r dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}\le c\left(A_\varepsilon\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}$$
$$+(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}+\kappa)\left(\left((1+\kappa)\int_M|\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p\,dv_g+c(\kappa)\int_M u_\varepsilon^p|\nabla_g\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}|^p dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}\right)\left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^p\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p\,dv_g\right)^{\frac{\tau}{n}}.$$

Then, using hypothesis (B) and (21), letting $\varepsilon\to 0$, $\sigma\to\infty$ and $\kappa\to 0$, one gets

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_M u_\varepsilon^r\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^r\,dv_g\le\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(A(p,n)A_\varepsilon\int_M|\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p\,dv_g\right)\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(\frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p\,dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p\,dv_g}\right)^{\frac{p}{n}}. \quad (23)$$

Let

$$X=\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left(A(p,n)\,A_\varepsilon\int_M|\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p dv_g\right),\quad Y=\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^p dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g},\quad Z=\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_M u_\varepsilon^r\eta_{\varepsilon,\sigma}^r dv_g\,.$$

Clearly, $X,Y,Z\le 1$ and (22) and (23) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases}\frac{n}{n+p}X+\frac{p}{n+p}Y\le Z\\ \\ \qquad Z\le XY^{\frac{p}{n}}\,.\end{cases} \quad (24)$$

By (17), one also has $Z>0$, so that $X,Y>0$.

We are now ready to prove that $Z=1$. By Young's inequality, we have that

$$X^{\frac{n}{n+p}}Y^{\frac{p}{n+p}}\le Z$$

so

$$1 \leq X^{\frac{p}{n+p}} Y^{\frac{p^2}{n(n+p)}}.$$

This implies $X = Y = 1$, therefore $Z = 1$. This prove (13).

2.3 Pointwise estimates

There exists a constant $c > 0$, independent of ε, such that

$$d_g(x, x_\epsilon) u_\epsilon(x)^{\frac{p}{n}} \leq c A_\epsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all $x \in M$ *and* $\epsilon > 0$ *small enough.*

The proof proceeds by contradiction. Suppose that the assertion above is false. Then, there exists $y_\varepsilon \in M$ such that $f_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon) \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = d_g(x_\varepsilon, x) u_\varepsilon(x)^{\frac{p}{n}} A_\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} .$$

Assume, without loss of generality, that $f_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon) = ||f_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}$. From (16), we have

$$f_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon) \leq \left(\frac{u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)}{||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}^{\frac{p(n+p)}{n^2}} \leq c d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}^{\frac{p(n+p)}{n^2}},$$

so that

$$d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}^{\frac{p(n+p)}{n^2}} \to \infty . \tag{25}$$

For any $\sigma > 0$ fixed and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we show that

$$B(y_\varepsilon, \varepsilon d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)) \cap B(x_\varepsilon, \sigma ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}^{-\frac{p(n+p)}{n^2}}) = \emptyset \tag{26}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Clearly, this assertion follows from

$$d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \geq \sigma ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}^{-\frac{p(n+p)}{n^2}} + \varepsilon d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) .$$

But the above inequality is equivalent to

$$(1 - \varepsilon) d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}^{\frac{p(n+p)}{n^2}} \geq \sigma ,$$

which is clearly satisfied, since $d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}^{\frac{p(n+p)}{n^2}} \to \infty$ and $1 - \varepsilon > 0$. Then it follows (26).

We claim that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \leq c u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon) \tag{27}$$

for all $x \in B(y_\varepsilon, \varepsilon d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon))$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Indeed, for each $x \in B(y_\varepsilon, \varepsilon d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon))$, we have

$$d_g(x, x_\varepsilon) \geq d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) - d_g(x, y_\varepsilon) \geq (1-\varepsilon) d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)\,.$$

Thus,

$$d_g(y_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{n}} A_\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} = f_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon) \geq f_\varepsilon(x) = d_g(x, x_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon(x)^{\frac{p}{n}} A_\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\geq (1-\varepsilon) d_g(y_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon(x)^{\frac{p}{n}} A_\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}}\,,$$

so that

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \leq \left(\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)$$

for all $x \in B(y_\varepsilon, \varepsilon d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon))$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. This proves our claim.
Since

$$d_g(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{n}} A_\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} \to \infty$$

we have

$$u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}} A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \to 0,$$

because M is compact.
So, we can define

$$h_\varepsilon(x) = g(\exp_{y_\varepsilon}(A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}} x)),$$
$$\psi_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-1} u_\varepsilon(\exp_{y_\varepsilon}(A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}} x))$$

for each $x \in B(0,2)$ and ε small enough.
From (8), it follows that

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^{-1} \Delta_{p,h_\varepsilon} \psi_\varepsilon + d_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon^{\frac{\tau}{p}} ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{\tau-p} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{p-r} \psi_\varepsilon^{p-1} + \frac{p}{n} ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{-p} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{p-r} \psi_\varepsilon^{p-1} = \frac{n+p}{n} \psi_\varepsilon^{r-1} \quad \text{on} \quad B(0,2)\,. \tag{28}$$

In particular,

$$\int_{B(0,2)} |\nabla_{h_\varepsilon} \psi_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \nabla_{h_\varepsilon} \psi_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_{h_\varepsilon} \phi \, dv_{h_\varepsilon} \leq c \int_{B(0,2)} \psi_\varepsilon^{r-1} \phi \, dv_{h_\varepsilon}$$

for all positive test function $\phi \in C_0^1(B(0,2))$. So, by (16) and the Moser's iterative scheme if $p < n$ or Morrey's inequality if $p \geq n$ (see [23]), one deduces that

$$1 \leq \sup_{B(0,\frac{1}{4})} \psi_\varepsilon^r \leq c \int_{B(0,\frac{1}{2})} \psi_\varepsilon^r \, dv_{h_\varepsilon} = c \left(A_\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p^2}{n}} \right)^{-1} \int_{B(y_\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g$$

$$\leq c \left(\frac{||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}}{u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)} \right)^{\frac{p^2}{n}} \int_{B(y_\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g \, .$$

For simplicity, we rewrite this last inequality as

$$0 < c \leq m_\varepsilon^{\frac{p^2}{n}} \int_{B(y_\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g \, , \tag{29}$$

where $m_\varepsilon = \frac{||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(M)}}{u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)}$.

Observe that $B(y_\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}}) \subset B(y_\varepsilon, \varepsilon d(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon))$ for ε small enough, this follows directly from the contradiction hypothesis. So, the L^r-concentration property (13) combined with (26) provides

$$\int_{B(y_\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g \to 0 \, ,$$

when $\varepsilon \to 0$.

So, we have that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} m_\varepsilon = \infty \, .$$

Our goal now is to contradict (29). Initially, from (16) and (27), we have

$$m_\varepsilon^{\frac{p^2}{n}} \int_{D_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g \leq c \, m_\varepsilon^{\frac{p^2}{n}} ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^\infty(D_\varepsilon)}^r (A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}})^n \leq c \, m_\varepsilon^{\frac{p^2}{n}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^r (A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}})^n \leq c \, , \tag{30}$$

where $D_\varepsilon = B(y_\varepsilon, A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}})$.

Consider the function $\eta_\varepsilon(x) = \eta(A_\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} d_g(x, y_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{n}})$, where $\eta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a cutoff function such that $\eta = 1$ on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\eta = 0$ on $[1, \infty)$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. Taking $u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^p$ as a test function in (8), one has

$$\lambda_\varepsilon^{-1} A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g + \lambda_\varepsilon^{-1} p A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^{p-1} \nabla_g u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla_g \eta_\varepsilon \, dv_g + d_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon^{\frac{\tau}{p}} ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{\tau - p} \int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g$$

$$+ \frac{p}{n} \frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g} \, dv_g = \frac{n+p}{n} \int_M u_\varepsilon^r \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \, .$$

By Hölder and Young's inequalities,

$$\left| \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} \nabla_g \eta_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^{p-1} \, dv_g \right| \leq \delta \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g + c(\delta) \int_M |\nabla_g \eta_\varepsilon|^p u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \, .$$

Also, by (16) and (27), it follows that

$$A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g \eta_\varepsilon|^p u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \leq A_\varepsilon (A_\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{n}})^p \int_{D_\varepsilon} u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \leq c u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^r (A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}})^n \leq c m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{p^2}{n}} . \tag{31}$$

Consequently, combining these inequalities with (30), one arrives at

$$A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g + c d_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon^{\frac{\tau}{p}} ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{\tau-p} \int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g + c \frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g} \leq c m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{p^2}{n}} . \tag{32}$$

Now, since $p > 1$,the non-sharp Moser inequality produces

$$\int_{B(y_\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g \leq \int_M (u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^p)^r \, dv_g \leq c \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \right) \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^p)^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \tag{33}$$

$$+ c \left(\int_M |\nabla_g \eta_\varepsilon|^p u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \right) \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^p)^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} + c \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^p)^p \, dv_g \right) \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^p)^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} .$$

Thanks to (31), (32) and since $p > 1$, we then can estimate each term of the right-hand side of (33). Indeed, we have

$$\int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^p)^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \leq A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \left(\frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \leq c m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{p^2}{n}(1+\frac{p}{n})},$$

$$\int_M |\nabla_g \eta_\varepsilon|^p u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^p)^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \leq A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g \eta_\varepsilon|^p u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \left(\frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \leq c m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{p^2}{n}(1+\frac{p}{n})} .$$

Using the fact

$$A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \to 0$$

when $\varepsilon \to 0$ and because $\tau \leq p$, we have

$$A_\varepsilon^{\frac{\tau-p}{p}} ||u_\varepsilon||_{L^p(M)}^{\tau-p} = \left(A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau-p}{p}} > c > 0 ,$$

for all ε, so

$$\int_M (u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^p)^p \, dv_g \left(\int_M (u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^p)^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \leq A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \left(\frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \leq c m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{p^2}{n}(1+\frac{p}{n})} .$$

Replacing these three estimates in (33), one gets

$$\int_{B(y_\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2} A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g \leq c m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{p^2}{n}(1+\frac{p}{n})} ,$$

so that

$$m_\varepsilon^{\frac{p^2}{n}} \int_{B(y_\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g \leq c m_\varepsilon^{-\frac{p^3}{n^2}} .$$

Since $m_\varepsilon \to \infty$, we obtain that

$$m_\varepsilon^{\frac{p^2}{n}} \int_{B(y_\varepsilon, \frac{1}{2}A_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\varepsilon(y_\varepsilon)^{\frac{p}{n}})} u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g \to 0 \,,$$

when $\varepsilon \to 0$. But this contradicts (29).

2.4 The final argument in the proof of Theorem 1

In the sequel, we will perform several estimates by using the L^r-concentration and the pointwise estimation. By simplicity, we consider that the radius of injectivity of M is greater than one.

Let $\eta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R})$ be a cutoff function as in the previous section and define $\eta_\varepsilon(x) = \eta(d_g(x, x_\varepsilon))$. From the inequality $M_E(A(p,n))$, we have

$$\int_{B(0,1)} u_\varepsilon^r \eta_\varepsilon^r \, dx \leq A(p,n) \left(\int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla(u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon)|^p \, dx \right) \left(\int_{B(0,1)} u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} .$$

Expanding the metric g in normal coordinates around x_ε (Cartan expansion), one locally gets

$$(1 - c d_g(x, x_\varepsilon)^2) \, dv_g \leq dx \leq (1 + c d_g(x, x_\varepsilon)^2) \, dv_g \tag{34}$$

and

$$|\nabla(u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon)|^p \leq |\nabla_g(u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon)|^p (1 + c d_g(x, x_\varepsilon)^2) \,. \tag{35}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{B(0,1)} u_\varepsilon^r \eta_\varepsilon^r \, dx$$

$$\leq \left(A_\varepsilon A(p,n) \int_M |\nabla_g(u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon)|^p \, dv_g + c A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g(u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon)|^p d_g(x, x_\varepsilon)^2 \, dv_g \right) \left(\frac{\int_{B(0,1)} u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dx}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} .$$

Applying then the inequalities

$$|\nabla_g(u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon)|^p \leq |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_\varepsilon^p + c|\eta_\varepsilon \nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} |u_\varepsilon \nabla_g \eta_\varepsilon| + c|u_\varepsilon \nabla_g \eta_\varepsilon|^p \,,$$

(8) and (9), we have

$$A(p,n)\ A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p\ dv_g \le 1 - d_\varepsilon \left(A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p\ dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}.$$

One easily checks that

$$\int_{B(0,1)} u_\varepsilon^r \eta_\varepsilon^r\ dx$$

$$\le \left(1 - d_\varepsilon \left(A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p\ dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + cF_\varepsilon + cG_\varepsilon + cA_\varepsilon \int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)\setminus B(x_\varepsilon,\frac{1}{2})} u_\varepsilon^p\ dv_g \right) \left(\frac{\int_{B(0,1)} u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p\ dx}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p\ dv_g} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}}, \tag{36}$$

where

$$F_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_\varepsilon^p d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2\ dv_g\ ,$$

and

$$G_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} \eta_\varepsilon^{p-1} u_\varepsilon |\nabla_g \eta_\varepsilon|\ dv_g\,.$$

We now estimate F_ε and G_ε.

Applying Hölder and Young's inequalities, we obtain

$$G_\varepsilon \le \kappa\ A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_\varepsilon^p d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 dv_g + c(\kappa)\ A_\varepsilon \int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)\setminus B(x_\varepsilon,\frac{1}{2})} u_\varepsilon^p dv_g = \kappa\ F_\varepsilon + c(\kappa) A_\varepsilon \int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^p dv_g\ , \tag{37}$$

where $1 > \kappa > 0$.

Now we will divide into two cases:

(i) $1 < p \le 2$ **:**

Using Hölder and Young's inequalities, we obtain

$$A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} \eta_\varepsilon^p u_\varepsilon d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)\ dv_g \le \left(A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_\varepsilon^p d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 dv_g \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(A_\varepsilon \int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\le \kappa F_\varepsilon + c(\kappa) A_\varepsilon \int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^p dv_g\ . \tag{38}$$

(ii) $2 < p$ **:**

Using Hölder and Young's inequalities and as $A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p dv_g$ is bounded, we obtain

$$A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} \eta_\varepsilon^p u_\varepsilon d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)\ dv_g \le A_\varepsilon \int_M \eta_\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}} |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{\frac{p}{2}} d_g(x,x_\varepsilon) u_\varepsilon |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} dv_g$$

$$\leq A_\varepsilon \left(\int_M \eta_\varepsilon^p |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 dv_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2p}}$$

$$\leq \kappa F_\varepsilon + c(\kappa) \left(A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} . \tag{39}$$

Now taking $u_\varepsilon d_g^2 \eta_\varepsilon^p$ as a test function in (8), one easily checks that

$$F_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p \eta_\varepsilon^p d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 \, dv_g \leq c \int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^r d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 \, dv_g + cA_\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} \eta_\varepsilon^p u_\varepsilon d_g(x,x_\varepsilon) \, dv_g + cG_\varepsilon \, .$$

Therefore, by (37) and (38), we have that

$$F_\varepsilon \leq c \int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^r d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 \, dv_g + c(\kappa) A_\varepsilon \int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \, , \tag{40}$$

for $1 < p \leq 2$.

On the other hand, if $2 < p$, we can use the same idea above together with (39) and we obtain

$$F_\varepsilon \leq c \int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^r d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 \, dv_g + c(\kappa) \left(A_\varepsilon \int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} . \tag{41}$$

Now by (34) and the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon^r \eta_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g \geq \int_M u_\varepsilon^r \eta_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g - c \int_M u_\varepsilon^r \eta_\varepsilon^r d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 \, dv_g$$

$$\geq 1 - c \int_{M \setminus B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^r \, dv_g - c \int_M u_\varepsilon^r \eta_\varepsilon^r d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 \, dv_g \, ,$$

and by Cartan expansion

$$\left(\frac{\int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dx}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} \leq \left(\frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g + c \int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 \, dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} + c \frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 \, dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g} \leq 1 + c \frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 \, dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g} \, .$$

Using $u_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon^p d_g(x_\varepsilon,x)^2$ as the test function in (8), we also have

$$\frac{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \eta_\varepsilon^p d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 \, dv_g}{\int_M u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g} \leq c \int_{B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^r d_g(x_\varepsilon,x)^2 dv_g + F_\varepsilon \, .$$

Replacing these two estimates in (36), one gets

$$d_\varepsilon \left(A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p \, dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}$$

$$\leq cA_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g + cF_\varepsilon + c\int_M u_\varepsilon^r \eta_\varepsilon^r d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2\, dv_g + c\int_{M\setminus B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^r\, dv_g\,. \tag{42}$$

If (iii) $1<p\leq 2$ **:** using the pointwise estimate and the definition of r, we have

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon^r \eta_\varepsilon^p d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 dv_g \leq \int_M u_\varepsilon^{r-p} d_g(x,x_\epsilon)^p u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \leq cA_\varepsilon \int_M u_\epsilon^p dv_g\ ,$$

and proceeding analogously

$$\int_{M\setminus B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^r dv_g \leq \int_M u_\varepsilon^{r-p} d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^p u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \leq cA_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g.$$

If (iv) $p>2$ **:** using the pointwise estimate, we have

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon^r d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 dv_g = \int_M u_\varepsilon^{\frac{2(r-p)}{p}} d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 u_\varepsilon^{\frac{r(p-2)+2p}{p}} dv_g \leq cA_\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{p}} \int_M u_\varepsilon^{\frac{r(p-2)+2p}{p}} dv_g\ ,$$

and because $p<\frac{r(p-2)+2p}{p}<r$, we have by interpolation that

$$\int_M u_\varepsilon^r d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 dv_g \leq c\left(A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

and proceeding analogously

$$\int_{M\setminus B(x_\varepsilon,1)} u_\varepsilon^r dv_g \leq \int_M u_\varepsilon^r d_g(x,x_\varepsilon)^2 dv_g \leq c\left(A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}\ .$$

Consider $1<p<2$. Using (i) and (iii) in (42), we obtain

$$c<|M|^{-\frac{\tau}{n}}-\gamma_\varepsilon \leq \frac{B_\varepsilon-\gamma_\varepsilon}{c_\varepsilon}=d_\varepsilon \leq c\left(A_\varepsilon \int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g\right)^{\frac{p-\tau}{p}}\ , \tag{43}$$

for some constant $c>0$.

When $2<p$, we can use (ii) and (iv) in (42), and we have

$$c<|M|^{-\frac{\tau}{n}}-\gamma_\varepsilon \leq \frac{B_\varepsilon-\gamma_\varepsilon}{c_\varepsilon}=d_\varepsilon \leq c\left(A_\alpha \int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g\right)^{\frac{2-\tau}{p}}\ , \tag{44}$$

for some constant $c>0$.

If $\tau<\min\{p,2\}$, we have a contradiction when $\varepsilon\to 0$. Therefore (A) occurs and $\mathcal{B}<\infty$. When $\tau=\min\{p,2\}$, we have by (43) or by (44) that d_ε is bounded, *i.e.*, B_ε is bounded. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

3 Existence of extremals for the optimal Moser inequality

Now, let us prove Theorem 2.

In the proof of the Theorem 1, if $\mathcal{B} = M^{-\frac{\tau}{n}}$ we have that the constant functions are extremal. If $\mathcal{B} > M^{-\frac{\tau}{n}}$, then we have the condition (C.2) (see section 2.1) of Theorem 1. As by hypothesis $\tau < \min\{p, 2\}$, we have that the condition (A) occurs (see section 2.1 again), then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} A_\varepsilon > 0 \, .$$

Using this fact in (8), we see that there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p dv_g + \left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \leq c$$

for all ε. Then, up to a subsequence, $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $H^{1,p}(M)$. In particular, since $||u_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)} = 1$ for all ε, we have that $||u_0||_{L^r(M)} = 1$, *i.e.*, u_0 is not a null function.

From (8), we have

$$\int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \nabla_g u_\varepsilon \nabla_g h dv_g \leq c \int_M u_\varepsilon^{r-1} h dv_g \, ,$$

for an arbitrary test function $h \geq 0$. Then, by Moser's iterative scheme for $p < n$ or Morrey's inequality for $p \geq n$ (see [23])), we have

$$\sup_{x \in M} u_\varepsilon \leq c \, ,$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p > 1$. Since $u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(M)$ for all ε, we can use the Tolksdorf's regularity in (8) so that it follows $u_\varepsilon \to u_0$ in $C^1(M)$.

By (7) and (6), the function v_ε (as obtained in Theorem 1) satisfies

$$\left(\int_M v_\varepsilon^r dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \geq \left(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g v_\varepsilon|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + (B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon) \left(\int_M v_\varepsilon^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \right) \left(\int_M v_\varepsilon^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{n}} \, ,$$

and since $u_\varepsilon = \frac{v_\varepsilon}{||v_\varepsilon||_{L^r(M)}}$, we get

$$1 \geq \left(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + (B_\varepsilon - \gamma_\varepsilon) \left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \right) \left(\int_M u_\varepsilon^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{n}} \, .$$

Taking the limit in this inequality, we find

$$1 \geq \left(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_0|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} + \mathcal{B} \left(\int_M u_0^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{p}} \right) \left(\int_M u_0^q dv_g \right)^{\frac{\tau}{n}} \, .$$

Then u_0 is an extremal function for $M_R(A(p,n)^{\frac{\tau}{p}}, \mathcal{B})$ and $\mathcal{B} = B_{opt}$. ■

References

[1] M. Agueh - *Sharp Gagliardo-Nirenberg Inequalities via p-Laplacian type equations*, Nonlinear Diff. Eq. Appl. 15 (2008) 457-472.

[2] D. Bakry, T. Coulhon, M. Ledoux, L. Sallof-Coste - *Sobolev inequalities in disguise*, Indiana J. Math. 44 (1995) 1033-1074.

[3] W. Beckner - *Estimates on Moser Embedding*, Potential Analysis 20 (2004) 345-359.

[4] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg - *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Communs pure appl. Math. 36 (1983) 437-477.

[5] C. Brouttelande - *The best-constant problem for a family of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities on a compact Riemannian manifold*, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. 46 (2003) 147-157.

[6] J. Ceccon - *General optimal L^p-Nash inequalities on Riemannian manifolds*, in press, Annali Della Scuola Normale Superiore Di Pisa (2014), DOI Number: $10.2422/2036-2145.201404_006$.

[7] J. Ceccon, M. Montenegro - *Optimal L^p-Riemannian Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities*, Math. Z. 258 (2008) 851-873.

[8] J. Ceccon, M. Montenegro - *Optimal Riemannian L^p-Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities revisited*, J. Differential Equations 254, 6 (2013) 2532-2555.

[9] J. Ceccon, M. Montenegro - *Sharp L^p-entropy inequalities on manifolds*, arXiv:1307.7115 [math.AP].

[10] W. Chen, X. Sun - *Optimal improved L_p-Riemannian Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities*, Nonlinear Analysis 72 (2010) 3159-3172.

[11] D. Cordero-Erausquin, B. Nazaret, C. Villani - *A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities*, Adv. Math. 182 (2004) 307-332.

[12] M. Del Pino, J. Dolbeault - *Best constants for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to nonlinear diffusions*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 81 (2002) 847-875.

[13] M. Del Pino, J. Dolbeault - *The optimal Euclidean L^p-Sobolev logarithmic inequality*, J. Funct. Anal. 197 (2003) 151-161.

[14] O. Druet - *Optimal Sobolev inequalities of arbitrary order on compact Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. 159 (1998) 217-242.

[15] O. Druet, E. Hebey, M. Vaugon - *Optimal Nash's inequalities on Riemannian manifolds: the influence of geometry*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 14 (1999) 735-779.

[16] L. G. Farah - *Global Well-Posedness and Blow-up for the L^2-Supercritical and H^1-Subcritical Inhomogeneous Nonlinear Shrödinger Equation*, preprint

[17] I. Gentil - *Ultracontractive bounds on Hamilton-Jacobi solutions*, Bull. Sci. Math., 126 (2002) 507-524.

[18] I. Gentil - *The general optimal L^p-Euclidean logarithmic Sobolev inequality by Hamilton-Jacobi equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 202 (2003) 591-599.

[19] G. Grillo - *On the equivalence betwenn p-Poincaré inequalities and $L^r - L^q$ regularization and decay estimates of certain nonlinear evolutions*, J. Differential Equations 249 (2010) 2561-2576.

[20] E. Hebey - *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds: Sobolev Spaces and Inequalities*, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 5 (1999).

[21] N. Kishimoto, M. Maeda - *Construction of blow-up solutions for Zakharov system on $\mathbb{T}^2$*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire 30 (2013), no. 5, 791-824.

[22] J. Moser - *On Harnack's theorem for elliptic differential equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1961) 577-591.

[23] J. Serrin - *Local behavior of solutions of quasilinear equations*, Acta Math. 111 (1964) 247-302.

[24] P. Tolksdorf - *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Differential Equations, 51 (1) (1984) 126-150.